

DEC 20 2005



2635 North First St. Suite 223
San Jose, CA 95134
Tel: 408.382.0480
Fax: 408.382.0481

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

Date:	December 20, 2005	Fax:	571-273-8300
To:	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Phone:	

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:	Brian Ogonowsky
Serial No.:	10/678,541
Docket:	LUM-03-09-05
Re:	Response to Office Action
Pages:	9 (including cover sheet)

Message:

Re: Applicant(s): Gerard Harbers, William D. Collins III
Assignee: Lumileds Lighting, U.S., LLC
Title: LCD Backlight Using Two-Dimensional Array of LEDs
Serial No.: 10/678,541
Examiner: James Cranson, Jr. Filed: October 3, 2003
Docket No.: LUM-03-09-05 Group Art Unit: 2875

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- (1) Transmittal Letter (1 page);
- (2) Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 (1 page);
- (3) Response to Office Action (6 pages).

The information contained in this message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and may be protected by the work product doctrine. As such, this document is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and destroy any copies of this document in your possession. Thank you.



RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
DEC 20 2005
Brian D. Ogonowsky
Carmen C. Cook
David C. Hsia
Rachel V. Leiterman

December 20, 2005

Via Facsimile

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: Applicant(s): Gerard Harbers, William D. Collins III
Assignee: Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC
Title: LCD Backlight Using Two-Dimensional Array of LEDs
Serial No.: 10/678,541
Examiner: James Cranson, Jr. Filed: October 3, 2003
Docket No.: LUM-03-09-05 Group Art Unit: 2875

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- (1) This Transmittal Letter (1 page);
- (2) Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 (1 page);
- (3) Response to Office Action (6 pages).

☒ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For		Present Extra	Rate		Additional Fee
Total Claims	31	Minus	32	=	0	x \$50	\$	0.00
Independent Claims	3	Minus	3	=	0	x \$200	\$	0.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fee of _____ for the first filing of one or more multiple dependent claims per application							\$
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extension of Time Fee (1 month)							\$ 120.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Please charge our credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed) in the amount of							Total \$ 120.00

☒ Also, charge any additional fees required and credit any overpayment to our Deposit
Account No. 502226.

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission	
I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.	
Signature	Date 12/20/05

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Ogonowsky
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 31,988

12/21/2005 MBINAS 00000009 10678541

01 FC:1251

120.00 OP

2635 North First Street, Suite 223, San Jose, CA 95134 ph: (408) 382-0480 fax: (408) 382-0481 www.patentlawgroup.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 20 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Gerard Harbers et al.
Assignee: Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC
Title: LCD Backlight Using Two-Dimensional Array of LEDs
Serial No.: 10/678,541 Filed: October 3, 2003
Examiner: James Cranson, Jr. Art Unit: 2875
Docket No.: LUM-03-09-05

San Jose, California
December 20, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

This responds to the Office Action mailed August 22, 2005.

The "objected to" dependent Claims 3 and 16 are being made independent by either incorporating the limitation of Claim 3 into the independent Claim 1 or making the "objected to" dependent Claim 16 independent. Since the amended Claim 1 is a "generic claim" and the previously withdrawn claims are species of Claim 1, it is requested that all the withdrawn claims be reinstated.

Amendments to the claims begin on page 2.

Remarks begin on page 6 of this paper.